EXHIBIT 1

1-A

- policies or anything that's brought in question. So you
- would have to follow through on any type of information
- that's given. I don't need any person, I need the facts
- in the investigation. So the facts that are given to us
- are the facts that we follow up on and then we conclude
- 6 the investigation.
- ⁷ Q. When you conclude an investigation, do you
- prepare a report?
- ⁹ A. We prepare a summary, yes.
- Q. Is that the case, where all complaints of
- discrimination or harassment that are made to Human
- Resources result in a written report or summary
- conclusion, something like that?
- ¹⁴ A. Yes.
- Q. Where are those documents kept?
- A. It's documented on our drive, share drive.
- Q. Is there a specific file?
- A. It would be just "Investigations." I believe
- that's how it's titled.
- Q. So in the FMC server, I guess, there is a
- folder called "Investigations"?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Within that folder, do you have a specific
- sub-folder or is it everybody's investigations go into
- the same one?

- A. Some people have sub-folders, and there's a
- general folder.
- Q. Did you prepare a conclusion or a summary
- report in that file related to Mr. Parejo's allegation
- that's raised in June of 2008?
- A. I don't recall if I have one specific to this
- 7 letter here.
- ⁸ Q. Why wouldn't there be one? I thought you had
- said the protocol was for every investigation that's
- 10 conducted --
- A. Not every investigation. I said if there is a
- charge of harassment or discrimination that is founded,
- yes, we would document it and make sure we have a clear
- response to that.
- ¹⁵ Q. So only if the investigation determines that
- there's an actual finding of harassment or
- discrimination is there a written report that goes into
- that file. Is that right?
- A. Yes. It's just a format to document the issue
- that was raised and the interviews that were conducted
- and a summary of what actions we took.
- Q. How many times have you filled out that form
- and put it into that folder in the server?
- A. Probably in like three major instances, yes.
- Now, we have the Ethics Point, so that's where all my

- 1 case are.
- Q. What were the instances where you prepared that
- report and brought them to the folder because you only
- do that when there's a finding of racial discrimination
- or harassment?
- A. I know there was one with the racial symbol,
- ⁷ graffiti. That one.
- 8 O. How about Mr. Mills?
- ⁹ A. Again, that one is outlined, documented. That
- one is actually in Ethics Point, but again concluded by
- ¹¹ Vicki Vargas.
- Q. How about Mr. Grice's allegations about Ken
- 13 Meredith?
- A. We collected all the documents, but I don't
- know if there was a summary report. The individual was
- released, removed from the facility.
- Q. It was determined that there was a violation of
- the harassment policy?
- ¹⁹ A. Yes.
- Q. And since FMC has a zero tolerance harassment
- policy that dictates that an individual is supposed to
- be immediately removed and prosecuted to the fullest
- extent of the law, was Mr. Meredith immediately removed
- and prosecuted?
- A. I don't know about prosecuted. That's

25

Page 144					
1	separate	e, but he was removed at FMC's request.			
2	Q.	Now, Mr. Meredith is no longer working at the			
3	FMC faci	lity on Gears Road. Is that right?			
4	Α.	That's correct.			
5	Q.	But he remains a contractor doing business with			
6	FMC. Is	s that true?			
7	Α.	I don't know that to be true.			
8	Q.	Where would you find that out if you wanted to			
9	determin	ne whether or not Mr. Meredith is a contractor			
10	still do	oing business with FMC?			
11	Α.	I know he was employed by a third party. He			
12	was a th	nird-party inspector employed by another company.			
13	Q.	Is it your understanding that Mr. Meredith no			
14	longer h	nas access to the FMC workplace or workplaces?			
15	А.	Not to my knowledge. I'm not aware.			
16	Q.	Do you know whether anyone called the			
17	authorities?				
18	Α.	In this case?			
19	Q.	Right.			
20	Α.	There were no criminal charges.			
21	Q.	So the answer is no?			
22	Α.	No.			
23	Q.	All right. Any other situations where you can			
24	recall t	filling out a written report and bringing it to			

the server and the folder identified as

"Investigations"?

6

16

17

- A. Again, specifically? I can't recall specific ones, but I know I have.
 - Q. Are those the only times that you have prepared a written report following an investigation into an allegation of discrimination or harassment?
 - A. I know right now I probably have.
- Let me ask you to rephrase that.
- Q. Sure. I was asking initially whether or not
 the protocol of FMC when HR managers receive a complain
 of discrimination or harassment, whether there was a
 written conclusion document. You said, yes, when
 there's a finding of discrimination or harassment,
 right, and those documents are kept on a file in the
 server, entitled "Investigations"?
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Stop me if I'm wrong.
- A. Go ahead. Finish.
- Q. I asked you to identify the written summary
 reports that you have prepared and concluded and placed
 in that folder. You identified a graffiti situation and
 a situation with Mr. Mills, and you couldn't recall any
 others.
- A. Let's just say in my tenure at FMC, we went from a paper format, which was an outline that I was

- given to follow to sum up an investigation. So that was
- the process in place when I started. Now the process in
- place is a systematic online tool that we would go in
- there and fill out all of the information. So all my
- recent cases, at least ten or however many more than
- that, are all documented in Ethics Point. Prior to
- ⁷ that, it was typewritten in that format.
- ⁸ Q. Understood. With the new system in place with
- ⁹ Ethics Point, do you only type information into the
- Ethics Point system if the complaint came via Ethics
- Point, or all complaints that come to HR?
- A. All complaints.
- Q. All of them are processed through Ethics Point,
- the system?
- ¹⁵ A. Yes.
- Q. Regardless of whether the finding is that there
- was discrimination, harassment, or not?
- A. Correct.
- Q. All right. So did you conclude whether there
- was discrimination or harassment with respect to
- Mr. Parejo's allegation that's raised on June 16, 2008?
- A. With Mr. Parejo, it's been sort of a continuous
- 23 process with him. So this is not the first letter that
- he's written to look into his allegation of being denied
- specifically welding positions. He's brought it up

- several times, and we have concluded as of late last
- year that he has not been denied the opportunity to
- test, to apply for the position. So was there a charge
- of discrimination based on that? No.
- ⁵ Q. Did you document your findings in any fashion?
- Did you take any notes, handwritten, typed, anything
- with respect to Mr. Parejo's allegation of
- 8 discrimination?
- ⁹ A. Taken notes in the process and documented his allegation, yes.
- Q. Where do you keep those notes?
- A. Again, it's going to be varied depending on when we did this. So the handwritten and typed or in the system right now regarding Mr. Parejo.
 - Q. Did you turn over all of those documents to counsel, handwritten notes and --
- A. Everything I had.
- 18 (Exhibit 196 marked)
- Q. (BY MR. BOXER) I've marked as Exhibit 196 a letter to FMC HR Department, Patsy Livingston, from Mr. Grice, June 25th, 2008. Ms. Livingston, do you recall receiving a letter from Mr. Grice on or about June 25, 2008, that we've marked as Exhibit No. 196?
- ²⁴ A. Yes.

15

16

Q. This is the situation we discussed briefly

- wherein Mr. Grice was complaining about Ken Meredith.
- ² A. Yes.
- Q. Let me read a couple of passage here. It says:
- 4 "On Monday, June 23, 2008, I, Lawrence Grice, along with
- 5 Stephen Matula and David Dempsey were present to witness
- the following commends made by third-party inspector
- 7 Ken Meredith."
- First, let me ask. What was Mr. Matula's
- position in June of 2008?
- A. I'm not certain. I believe Mr. Matula is a
- 11 lead.
- Q. Are leads supposed to immediately report acts
- of discrimination or harassment up the chain of command?
- A. All employees are supposed to do that.
- Q. Are they supposed do it to their immediate
- manager, to HR, to both, Ethics Point, to whom?
- A. As quickly as possible to a member of FMC
- management. It doesn't have to be their line of
- management.
- Q. Here's the quote. It says: "All these illegal
- Mexicans want when they come into this country is a
- white woman, a hot dog, apple pie, and a Chevrolet." Do
- you see that?
- ²⁴ A. Yes.
- Q. Do you believe that comment violated FMC's

- policy regarding harassment?
- A. Yes, it would be.
- Q. If Mr. Matula was present when that comment
- would be made, he would have an obligation as a lead to
- report that to his manager or Human Resources
- immediately, right?
- A. Any employee. Again, yes.
- Q. Mr. Matula included?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- Q. Do leads have a special obligation?
- A. I wouldn't say special, but they're leading
- tasks, they're working with a team. They should report
- the incident if they have knowledge of it.
- Q. It looks like then two days later, on
- Wednesday, June 25, 2008, there were some more comments
- made. Let me ask you. Do you know whether Mr. Matula
- reported Ken Meredith's comments about illegal Mexicans
- to anyone between June 23rd and June 25th?
- A. No, I don't know.
- Q. It says: On June 25th, I, Lawrence Grice, was
- working, using a sling to move pallets across the floor.
- Ken Meredith shouted, "Yah, mule, yah; pull, mule,
- pull." Then it continued. Later on it says: "I told
- Ken, 'God made me a man and not a mule.' Ken replied:
- 'You are a mule.'"

1-B

		
:		Page 29
	1	Q. For each of the 30 to 40 investigations that
	2	you conducted regarding racial issues, did you draft
	3	an investigative report?
	4	A. Yes.
10:33	5	Q. Why is it important to draft an investigative
	6	report?
	7	A. We did not always draft an investigative
	8	report. And we took notes on some of them, and some
	9	of them, we created an investigative report to
10:34	10	document our notes and form a conclusion.
	11	Q. For the 30 to 40 about race, you did an
	12	investigative report, is that right, or did that
·	13	change at some point?
	14	A. We did not do an investigative report for
10:34	15	each one of those.
	16	Q. Okay. When did that change?
	17	A. I do not know that it changed. I do believe
	18	there was a point where we became more formal in our
	19	investigative summaries. That was probably I do
10:35	20	not know.
	21	Q. Can you give me a rough estimate? Was it
	22	yesterday or was it a couple years ago?
	23	A. It was a few years ago.
	24	Q. Okay. Sometime in 2006, perhaps, 2007?
10:35	25	A. I believe it was earlier than that, but I

-		Page 30
	1	don't I couldn't tell you how much earlier than
	2	that.
	3	Q. How did that change come about?
	4	A. I do not know why that change came about.
10:35	5	Q. Did one of your superiors say, Hey, we really
	6	need to formalize these things?
	7	A. No.
	8	Q. How else?
	9	A. We had a co-worker start working with us,
10:35	10	another generalist who had worked in another company
	11	where they had formats of investigative notes that we
	12	adopted.
	13	Q. Who was that co-worker?
	14	A. Robert Empie.
10:36	15	Q. Can you spell that last name?
	16	A. E-M-P-I-E.
	17	Q. Mr. Empie said in sum or substance, This is
	18	the format we used to use at my other company. What
	19	do you think? And FMC adopted that format, roughly?
10:36	20	A. It wasn't FMC adopted. Our department
	21	adopted using that format, yes.
	22	Q. Okay. Of the 30 to 40 investigations you
	23	have conducted into racial issues, how many times did
	24	you determine that the conduct complained of violated
10:36	25	FMC's policies about discrimination, harassment or